

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	l i	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,783 03/04/2004		03/04/2004	Shin Yamaguchi	249967US0X	4397
22850	7590	08/09/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN I			ROBERTS, LEZAH		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				1614	·
				DATE MAILED: 09/00/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,783	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lezah W. Roberts	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and are all all accomposed and are all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the lidenaming(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 `					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims

All previous rejections are withdrawn unless stated below. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

This action is made **NON-FINAL**.

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim recites the phrase "titanium oxinitride powder" twice. It is believed one of the phrases should read "nitrogen doped titanium oxide powder". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 - Obviousness (Previous Rejection)

1) Claims 1-4 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montgomery et al. (US 2003/0198605) in view of Morikawa et al. (US 2002/0006865). The rejection is maintained.

Applicant argues Montgomery et al. does not use nitrogen doped titanium oxide powder or titanium oxinitride powder in the teeth whitening compositions disclosed therein. This argument is not persuasive. Montgomery does teach titanium oxides as photocatalyst. Applicant argues Morikawa et al. does not suggest using nitrogen doped titanium oxide powder or titanium oxinitride powder in a dental composition and merely recites "a photocatalytic substance which can exert a stable photocatalytic function

Application/Control Number: 10/791,783

Art Unit: 1614

when irradiated by light in a visible range." This argument is not persuasive. Morikawa et al. suggests the photocatalyst nitrogen doped titanium oxide can be used in place of titanium oxide. They also show the photocatalyst have enhanced activity. Therefore it would be obvious to use these photocatalyst in order to enhance the whitening affects of the compositions on the teeth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 - Obviousness (New Rejection)

1) Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nathoo (US 2003/0103913). in view of Morikawa et al. (US 2002/0006865).

Nathoo teaches dual component whitening compositions comprising a transition metal oxide and hydrogen peroxide. The first and second components remain separate from each other until dispensed for application to teeth. The first component may comprise Pluronic F127 (a thickening agent), water (a carrier) and hydrogen peroxide. The second component comprises titanium dioxide, sodium lauryl sulfate and Tween 20 (which are organic compounds, Tween 20 being a liquid) (see Example 1). The amount of titanium dioxide incorporated in the second component ranges from 0.1 to 6% by weight and preferably between 0.25 to 4% by weight (page 2, paragraph 0025). The amount of hydrogen peroxide used ranges from 0.1 to 30% by weight. The thickener makes up 15% to 50% of the composition (paragraph 0032). The pH of the titanium dioxide comprising component is about 10. The compositions also comprise sodium fluoride which is a metal salt encompassing claim 6. The reference differs from the

Art Unit: 1614

instant claims insofar as it does not teach using nitrogen doped titanium oxide or titanium oxinitride in the second component of the compositions.

Morikawa et al. teaches titanium oxide photocatalyst. The photocatalyst include titanium nitride with the formula Ti-O-N. The Ti-O-N photocatalyst can exert a stable photocatalytic function when irradiated by light in a visible range, and which can be produced easily and at a low cost (paragraph 0008). The photocatalytic substance according to the present invention comprises a photocatalyst material, which operates under visible light, or is activated by visible light, supported on a porous medium. Supporting the photocatalyst material on the porous medium enhances the efficiency of the operation of the photocatalyst material under visible light (paragraph 0009). The photocatalytic substance may include one or more of V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ru, Rh. Pd. Re. Os. Ir. Pt. Mo and Nb. substituting at one or more titanium sites in Ti--O--X, doping between Ti--O--X crystal lattices, doping to crystalline grain boundary of Ti--O--X. or a combination of these methods. A simultaneous doping of both cationic and anionic species enhances the catalytic activity of the photocatalyst (paragraph 0011). By including such anionic species into an oxide, or by further doping cationic species, a new level is formed in a band gap of the oxide which becomes standard, resulting in a photocatalyst which absorbs visible light (paragraph 0012). The porous medium is preferably formed from ceramics such as alumina, silica, zirconia and titanium oxide; diatomaceous earth, zeolite, sepiolite and activated carbon, or from a composite or mixture of them. Such substances do not readily decompose when in contact with a photocatalytic material, and are therefore preferable for forming a porous medium for

Art Unit: 1614

supporting the photocatalyst thereon (paragraph 0013). Preferably, the porous medium comprises mesopores. It is further suitable that the porous medium has a honeycomb structure. Such porous medium can maintain a high catalytic activity of the photocatalyst material (paragraph 0014). The honeycomb pattern is similar to a mesh pattern therefore encompassing what is recited in claims 4 and 5. It is suitable that one or both of nitrogen (N) and sulfur (S) as anions are doped in atomicity of over 0 and not more than 13% to titanium oxide (TiOx). The electronic state of a TiO.sub.2 semiconductor is changed by doping N or Ti--O--N, and a new absorption band is formed in a band gap of titanium oxide. As a result, it becomes possible to absorb not only ultraviolet light, but also visible light so that a photocatalytic reaction is observed in response to exposure to visible light (paragraph 0031). The presence of nitrogen makes titanium oxide a better photocatalyst. Titanium oxide may have a crystalline structure of anatase and rutile or an amorphous structure, and nitrogen may be doped. When nitrogen is doped, the doped nitrogen and a titanium atom are chemically bonded. Photocatalytic activity in visible light is high when such a chemical bond exists. When the photocatalyst is supported on a silica substrate such as FSM, remarkably enhanced the photocatalytic function. This is because FSM has very small projections and depression on its surface (paragraph 0048). The same effects were also observed when materials such as Ti--O--N--Fe, Ti--O--N--V, Ti--O--N--Cu and Ti--O--N--Co were used as the photocatalyst material (0049). The catalytic function of photocatalytic material also tends to promote decomposition of organic substances. The reference

Art Unit: 1614

differs from the instant claims insofar as it does not disclose using the photocatalyst of the present invention in compositions for whitening teeth.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the nitrogen doped titanium oxides and additional metal oxides in the whitening compositions of the primary reference motivated by the desire to enhance photocatalytic activity and to activate the photocatalyst with visible light at higher wavelengths as disclosed by the secondary reference.

Obvious-Type Double Patenting

Claims 1-4 and 12-13 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/644808 in view of Montgomery (US 2003/0198605). The rejection is maintained.

Claims 1-13 are rejected.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lezah W. Roberts whose telephone number is 571-272-1071. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/791,783 Page 7

Art Unit: 1614

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lezah Roberts Patent Examiner

feah loved

Art Unit 1614

Frederick Krass Primary Examiner

Art Unit 16141